



Practitioner's Docket No. 2002-IP-007848U1

PATENT

IM THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in no application of: Larry S. Eoff, et al.

Application No. 10 /780,995

Group No.:

Fled: 2/10/04

Examiner: unknown

METHODS FOR REDUCING THE PERMEABILITIES OF HORIZONTAL WELL For:

BORE SECTIONS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1460

TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BEFORE MAILING DATE OF EITHER A FINAL ACTION OR NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE (37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c))

NOTE: S7 C.F.R. 1.97: "(c) An information disclosure statement shall be considered by the Office if filed after the period specified in paragraph (b) of this section, provided that the information disclosure statement is filed before the mailing date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and it is accompanied by one of:

- (1) The statement specified in paragraph (s) of this section; or
- (2) The fee set forth in \$ 1.1764."

NOTE: "If a final action or notice of allowence is mailed in an application and later withdrawn, the application will be considered as not having had a final action of notice of allowance mailed for purposes of considering an information disclosure statement," Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 C.G. 37-41, 39).

> CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$5 1.5(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mendatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

| | • | MALING |
|------|---|---|
| 口 | deposited with the United States Postal Serv Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22315-1460 | ice in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O |
| | 87 C.F.R. § 1.8(4) | 37 C.P.R. § 1.10 * |
| XX | with sufficient postage as first class mail. | See "Express Mail Post Office to Addresses" Mailing Label No |
| | Ti | RANGEGOOM |
| | facelmile transmitted to the Patent and Trade | armeris, Office. (703) |
| | , 1 | Sammer Knight |
| Date | 2/27/66 | Signature |
| | / / | Tammy Knight |
| | , | type or print name of person certifying) |

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f), Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addresses" (§ 1.10) or facalmile transmission (§ 1.6)(§) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible fling date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance (8-4) page 1 of 3) NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(d): "A paper containing only an information disclosure statement in compliance with §§ 1.97 and 1.98 will not be considered a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution processing or examination) of the application under paragraphs (c)(0), (c)(0), (c)(0), or (c)(10) of this section if it is accompanied by a statement that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was clied in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in § 1.50(d) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. This thirty-day period is not extendible."

NOTE: "I information automitted during the period set forth in ST G.F.R. 1.97(s) with a certification is used in a new ground of rejection on unamented claims, the next Office action will not be made final since in this elevation it is clear that applicant has submitted the information to the office promptly after it has become known and the information is being submitted prior to a final determination on patentability by the Office. However, the information submitted with a certification can be used in a new ground of rejection was necessitated by amendment of the application by applicant. Where the information is submitted during this period with a fee, the examinar may use the information submitted, e.g., printed publication or evidence of public use, and make the next Office action final whether or not the claims have been amended, provided that no other new ground of rejection which was not necessitated by amendment to the claims is introduced by the examinar. See MPSP 708.07(s). If a new ground of rejection is introduced that is neither necessitated by an amendment to the claims nor based on the information submitted with the fee set forth in S7 G.F.R. § 1.17(s), the Office action shall not be made final." Notice of April 20, 1908 (1198 O.G. S7-41, SS).

WARNING: "A petition for suspension of action to allow applicant time to submit an information disclosure statement will be denied as falling to present good and sufficient reasons, since ST C.F.R. § 1.97 provides adequate recourse for the timely submission of prior art for consideration by the examiner."

Notice of July 6, 1998 (1141 C.G. 6S). But see § 103(b) and (c), limited suspension of action in a continued procedulion application (CPA) filed under § 1.53(d) and in a request for continued examination (FICE) under § 1.114.

WARNING: No extension of time can be had under 37 C.F.R. 1.138 (a) or (b) for filing an IDS, 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b.

TIME OF TRANSMITTAL OF ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- 1. The information disclosure statement transmitted herewith is being filed after three months of the filing date of this national application or the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application or after the mailing date of the first Office action on the merits, whichever event occurred last but before the mailing date of either:
 - (1) a final action under § 1.113 or
 - (2) a notice of allowance under § 1.311, whichever occurs first.

STATEMENT OR FEE

2. Accompanying this transmittal is

(check either A or B below)

A. II a statement as specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

B. I the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under § 1.97(c), (\$180.00),

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance, 19-4—page 2 of 3)

FEE PAYMENT

(complete this item, if applicable)

| Attached is a check money order in the amount of \$ | Applicant elects the option to p of an information disclosure s | say the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission tatement under § 1.97(c) (\$180.00). |
|--|---|--|
| Attached is a check money order in the amount of \$ | | Fee due \$ |
| Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$ | METHO | OD OF PAYMENT OF FEE |
| Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$ | 4. | |
| to Deposit Account No | ☐ Attached is a ☐ check ☐ | money order in the amount of \$ |
| to Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information authorizatio form PTO-2038. WAPNING: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public. The Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above. A duplicate of this paper is attached. Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251–3125 P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Customer No.: | Authorization is hereby mad | le to charge the amount of \$ |
| Form PTO-2038. WAPANNOR: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public. There arry additional fees required by this paper or credit arry overpayment in the marrier authorized above. A duplicate of this paper is attached. Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Customer No.: | • | _ |
| Customer No.: Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above. A duplicate of this paper is attached. SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Clustomer No.: | to Credit card as shown form PTO-2038. | n on the attached credit card information authorization |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (bype or print name of practitioner) P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Customer No.: | Charge any additional fees numerous manner authorized above. | equired by this paper or credit any overpayment in the |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Customer No.: | A duplicate of this paper is | attached. |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | · | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | · |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| Reg. No. 28,626 Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | | Lahu (Len (|
| Robert A. Kent (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Customer No.: | | SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER |
| (type or print name of practitioner) Tel. No.: (580) 251–3125 P.O. Box 1431 P.O. Address Customer No.: | Reg. No. 28,626 | Robert A Kent |
| P.O. Box 1431. P.O. Address Customer No.: | | |
| P.O. Address Customer No.: | Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125 | is a part and a production, |
| Customer No.: | | |
| Duncan, OK 73536-0440 | Customer No. | P.O. Address |
| | Customer No.: | Duncan, OK 73536-0440 |

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance [8-6]—page 3 of 3)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re application of: Larry S. Eoff, et al.

Group No. 3676

Application No.: 10 / 780,995

Examiner:

unknown

Filed: 2/18/04

For METHODS FOR REDUCING THE PERMEABILITIES OF HORIZONTAL WELL

BORE SECTIONS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT FOR INFORMATION DISCLOSURE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)

NOTE: A statement must state either: "(1) that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement, or (2) that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and to the knowledge of the person signing the statement after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement." 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

Under the first statement under § 1.97(e), it does not matter whether any individual with a duty of disclosure actually knew about any of the information cited before receiving the search report. The date on the communication by the foreign patent office begins the 3-month period in the same manner as the mailing of an Office action starts a 3-month shortened statutory period for reply. See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

| I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, t | his correspondence is being: |
|--|---|
| • | MAILING |
| deposited with the United States Postal Servi Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 | ce in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. |
| 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) | 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 * |
| with sufficient postage as first class mail. | as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" |
| | Mailing Label No (mandatory) |
| π | RANSMISSION |
| ☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trade | emark Office, (703) |
| , (| Lammy sunt |
| 3/21/06 | Signature |
| Date: 2/21/06 | Tammy Knight |
| ′ / | (type or print name of person certifying) |

(Statement for Information Disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) [6-8]-page 1 of 5)

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

NOTE: "Section 1.97(e) makes it cleer that a certification could contain either of two statements. One statement is that each item of information in an information disclosure statement was cited in a search report from a patent office outside the U.S. not more than three months prior to the filing date of the statement. Under this certification, it would not matter whether any individual with a duty actually knew about any of the information cited before receiving the search report, in the alternative, the certification could state that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual having a duty to disclose more than three months prior to the filing of the statement." Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25, at 13. (emphasis added). Thus: "If an item of information is submitted within three months of being cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, the certification can be properly made regardless of any individual's previous knowledge of the information." Id., 1135 O.G. at 19. See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

NOTE: "The date on the communication by the foreign patent office begins the 3-month period in the same manner as the mailing of an Office action starts a 3-month shortened statutory period for reply. If the communication contains two dates, the mailing date of the communication is the one which begins the 3-month period is not the date the communication was received by a foreign associate or the date it was received by a U.S. registered practitioner. Likewise, the statement will be considered to have been filed on the date the statement was received in the Office, or on an earlier date of mailing or transmission if accompanied by a properly executed certificate of mailing or facsimile transmission under 37 CFR 1.8, or if it is in compliance with the provisions for "Express Mail" delivery under 37 C.F.R. 1.10." See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

NOTE: "The cartification can be based on present, good faith knowledge about when information became known without a search of files being made." Thus, for example, the cartification of § 1.97(e) does not preclude the use of the certification in an application by corporations whose practitioners have over the years reviewed thousands of patents and technical publications, even though they are unaware of the relevance of any one thereof to the application. Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-15, at 19.

See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition:

"If an information disclosure statement includes a copy of a dated communication from a foreign patent office which clearly shows that the statement is being submitted within 3 months of the date on the communication, the copy will be accepted as the required communication. It will be assumed, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, that the communication was for a counterpart foreign application.

"In the alternative, a statement can be made if no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the person signing the statement after making reasonable inquiry, neither was it known to any individual having a duty to disclose more than 3 months prior to the filing of the statement."

NOTE: A copy of the foreign search report need not be submitted with the certification. Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40).

NOTE: "The phrase 'after making reasonable inquiry' makes it clear that the individual making the statement has a duty to make reasonable inquiry regarding the facts that are being certified. The statement can be made by a registered practitioner who represents a foreign client and who relies on statements made by the foreign client as to the date the information first became known. A registered practitioner who receives information from a client without being informed whether the information was known for more than three months, however, cannot make the statement without making reasonable inquiry. For example, if an inventor gave a publication to the attorney prosecuting an application with the intent that it be cited to the Office, the attorney should inquire as to when that inventor became aware of the publication and should not submit a statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)(2) to the Office until a satisfactory response is received. The statement can be based on present, good faith knowledge about when information became known without a search of files being made." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

NOTE: "Although it is recognized that an individual actually becomes aware of the information in the communication from a foreign patent office sometime after it was mailed, the mailing date of such a communication, if it occurs prior to a first awareness of the same information, would determine the date for filing of an information disclosure statement without a fee" in a certification procedure under § 1.97(e). Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25, at 19 (emphasis added).

- NOTE: The mere absence of an item of information from a foreign patent office communication is not intended to represent an opportunity to delay the submission of a item known more than three months prior to the filing of an information disclosure statement to an individual having the duty of disclosure under § 1.56, 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, 53,150 (Oct. 10, 1997).
- NOTE: The IDS is considered filed as of the date it is received in the PTO, or on an earlier date of mailing or transmission if done so with a properly executed certificate of mailing or facsimile transmission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8, or if it is in compliance with the provisions for "Express Mail" delivery under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10. See § 6098(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.
- NOTE: "The certification under § 1.97(s) should be made by a person who has knowledge of the facts being certified. The certification can be made by a practitioner who represents a foreign client and who relies on statements made by the foreign client as to the date the information first became known. A practitioner who receives information from a client without being informed whether the information was known for more than three months, however, cannot make the certification without making reasonable inquiry."

 Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25 at 19.
- "NOTE: "The term counterpart foreign patent application means that a claim for priority has been made in either the U.S. application or a foreign application based on the other, or that the disclosures of the U.S. and foreign patent applications are substantively identical (e.g., an application filed in the European Patent Office claiming the same U.K. priority as claimed in the U.S. application)." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39). See § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.
- NOTE: "Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor." 37 C.F.R. § 1.58(d) and

"Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) each inventor named in the application:
- (2) each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application." 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c).

IDENTIFICATION OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS BEING MADE

| 1. | This s | statement is being made for the Information Disclosure Statement |
|----|--------|--|
| | Ø | accompanying this statement. |
| | | filed (date) |
| | | |

STATEMENT

NOTE: A statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) need not be in the form of an oath or a declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68. A statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) by a registered practitioner or any other individual that the statement was filed within the 3-month period of either the first citation by a foreign patent office or first discovery of the information will be accepted as dispositive of compliance with this provision in the absence of evidence to the contrary. § 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.

| 2. I, the | person(s) signing below state: | |
|-----------|---|--|
| Ø | was first cited in any communic | contained in the information disclosure statement cation from a foreign patent office in a counterpart than three months prior to the filing of the cat. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). |
| (| | ailing date of the foreign patent office communication. Notice 19. The mailing date is the "date on the communication by 120, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39). |
| | | OR |
| | was cited in a communication fr application and, to my knowle information contained in this inf | intained in the information disclosure statement from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign dge, after making reasonable inquiry, no item of formation disclosure statement was known to any 5(c) more than three months prior to the filing of tement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). |
| 1 | when the information was discovered in | m to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(c)' is the time in association with the application even if awareness of the 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40). Section 609B(5), M.P.E.P., 8th |
| I | DENTIFICATION OF PERSO | DN(S) MAKING THIS STATEMENT |
| 3. The | person making this statement is | |
| | (check eac | h applicable item) |
| (a) 🗆 | the inventor(s) who signs below | w |
| | | SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR |
| | | (type name of inventor who is signing) |
| (b) 🗆 | application, and who is associa | involved in the preparation or prosecution of the ated with the inventor, with the assignee, or with obligation to assign the application (37 C.F.R. w. |
| | | SIGNATURE OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT |
| | | SHARIORE OF FEROME MARKING STATEMENT |
| | | (type name of person who is signing) |
| | | Address of person who is signing |
| | | Address of person who is signing |
| | | |

(Statement for Information Disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) [6-8]—page 4 of 5)

| (c) | the practitioner who signs below on the basis of the information: |
|-----|---|
| | (check each applicable item) |
| | supplied by the inventor(s). |
| | supplied by an individual designated in § 1.56(c). in the practitioner's file. |

Reg. No.: 28,626

Tel. No. (580) 251-3125

Customer No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Robert A. Kent

(type or print name of practitioner)
PO Box 1431

P.O. Address

Duncari OK 73536 0440

(Statement for Information Disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) [6-8]—page 5 of 5)

Applicant(s): Application No. PTO-1449 10/780,995 LARRY S. EOFF ET AL. Information Disclosure Citation Group Art Unit Filing Date Docket Number in an Application 3676 02/18/04 2002-IP-007848U1 MAR 0 2 7006 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS CLASS SUBCLASS FILING DATE** NAME DOCUMENT NO. 05/04/95 1. 507 120 01/28/97 Audibert, et al. 5,597,783 2. 507 120 05/04/95 Argillier, et al. 5,637,556 06/10/97 3. 294 01/11/96 166 5,720,347 02/24/98 Audibert, et al. 4. 08/01/83 252 8.554 4.814.096 03/21/89 Evani US 2005/0230116 5. US Pat. App. Ser. 07/15/05 Nguyen, et al. No. 11/183,028) **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS** TRANSLATION **SUBCLASS CLASS** COUNTRY DOCUMENT NO. DATE YES NO Χ E21 B 43/26 11/4/04 PCT WO 2004/094781 Χ E21B 43/26 PCT WO 2003/056130 07/10/03 Х 12/28/00 PCT C09K 7/02 WO 2000/78890 **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS DATE DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages)** Xu, et al.., Modeling of Laser Spallation Drilling of Rocks for Gas-and Oilwell DRILLING, SPE 95746, 2005 GAHAN, ET AL., EFFECT OF DOWNHOLE PRESSURE CONDITIONS ON HIGH-POWER LASER PERFORATION, SPE 97093, 2005 GAHAN, ET AL., ANALYSIS OF EFFICIENT HIGH-POWER FIBER LASERS OF WELL PERFORATION, SPE 90661, 2004 PARKER, ET AL., WELL PERFORATION USING HIGH-POWER LASERS, SPE 84418, 2003 PARKER, ET AL., LASER DRILLING: EFFECTS OF BEAM APPLICATION METHODS ON IMPROVING **ROCK REMOVAL, SPE 84353, 2003** BAI, ET AL., IMPROVED DETERMINATION OF STREE-DEPENDENT PERMEABILITY FOR ANISOTROPIC FORMATIONS, SPE 78188, 2002 PROETT, ET AL., ADVANCED PERMEABILITY AND ANISOTROPY MEASUREMENTS WHILE TESTING AND SAMPLING IN REAL-TIME USING A DUAL PROBE FORMATION TESTER, SPE 62919, 2000 PROETT, ET AL., ADVANCED DUAL PROBE FORMATION TESTER WITH TRANSIENT, HARMONIC, AND PULSED TIME-DELAY TESTING METHODS DETERMINES PERMEABILITY, SKIN AND ANISOTROPY, SPE 64650, 2000 DATE CONSIDERED **EXAMINER**

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.